New York Times Does Not Understand Main Street

We can try to understand The New York Times effect on man – Bee Gees

The headline on the Rural Blog, for the Institute for Rural Journalism and Community Issues, based at the University of Kentucky, said "the New York Times misses point in story on Main Street versus Wall Street in regulatory battle."

That is a vast and gross understatement.

The blog points to a story by David Herszenhorn.

Mr Herszenhorn plopped down in Louisville, Kentucky, home of Senate Minority leader Mitch McConnell. He saw a few branches of "too big to fail" banks or projects that got money from Wall Street firms.

This caused Mr. Herszenhorn to leap to the conclusion that "Main Street seems less an innocent victim of Wall Street in the financial crisis of 2008 than a savvy counterparty, whose own dealings contributed to the days of easy credit and overinflated real estate prices that led to the collapse."

Huh?

How did he come to that conclusion? Sounds like he wrote it on the plane before he got here.

If Herszenhorn had gone to New Orleans instead of Louisville, I suspect he would have seen a few BP stations and concluded that Main Street in Louisiana was "counterparty" to the massive oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico.

McConnell lives in Louisville but represents all of Kentucky, including my town of Richmond, 70 miles away.

I've lived in Kentucky 49 of my 51 years. (I lived in Nashville two years when I was in graduate school at Vanderbilt.) I see a different Main Street than the New York Times does.

I can look out from my office and see Richmond's entire Main Street. I see one branch of a "too big to fail" bank that got bailout money. I can see two branches of regional banks that got bailout money along the way.

Other than that, I don't see any high flaunting, Wall Street types, throwing their money around on my Main Street.

I'm sure it's the same on thousands of other Main Streets across the United States. Many of us are participating in the "Move Your Money" campaign that Arianna Huffington started as we want to minimize the small amount of Wall Street influence that does exist.

I've been opposed to the bailouts from the first day because I knew it was an inside deal for Washington and Wall Street. The rest of us were not invited to the party. We were only expected to pay for it.

Having David Herszenhorn wander around Louisville for a few hours is not going to change that view.

Herszenhorn might want to take a look at Michael Lewis's book, The Big Short or Too Big to Fail by his fellow New York Times staffer Andrew Ross Sorkin. I can't see where Main Street is responsible for the greed, recklessness and decision making outlined in those books.

It's like blaming a murder victim for being in the wrong place at the wrong time.

Although I strongly disagree with Mr. Herszenhorn's opinion, I really question the judgment of the editor, or editors, who assigned him to the story.

Looking at Mr. Herszenhorn's biography on ProCon.org, it shows that before working for the New York Times, he went to high school in Flushing NY, went to college at Dartmouth and currently lives in Douglastown, New York.

The perfect guy to assign to a story about Kentucky's Main Street. I hope they gave him a map.

Since I am a lifelong Democrat who voted for President Obama, I’m not prone to New York Times bashing. I read it everyday and some of the greatest journalists to walk the planet, like Joe Nocera and Thomas Friedman, write for it.

The Rural blog said that "The New York Times often provides the best rural coverage of any national news organization, because it has the staff and the editorial interest required to do the coverage."

I have to agree. The Rural blog also said that "sometimes the paper misses by a mile."

That is certainly the case with Herszenhorn's story.

It seemed like he was using any hook, no matter how flimsy, to justify the Wall Street bailout that the Washington and New York elite pushed through.

The New York Times can keep pumping out stories like Herszenhorn's. Time Magazine can keep putting Ben Bernanke on its cover as "Man of the Year." The New York centric media can keep telling us over and over again that the bailouts were good for Main Street.

We on Main Street are not going to believe it.

I feel like the Times and other Eastern elites are trying a stunt best described by Lyndon Johnson. They are trying to "piss on our boots and tell us it's raining."

Sitting here on Main Street, I don't see the rain.
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**altophone** 01:19 PM on 6/13/2010

63 Fans

I can't swallow anything a Friedman glorifier is dishing out. Isn't accuracy and good judgement a basis for evaluation anymore? Talk about missing the mark by a mile on one of the most important stories of our time... a war of choice based on easily discerned lies... but he's also one of Wall Streets stooges blaming the victims on every show on tv... exactly what this post decries. Sorry Don... you ain't payin attention.

[Reply](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/don-mcnay/new-york-times-does-not-u_b_609696.html)

**Countess** 09:28 AM on 6/13/2010

321 Fans

The country is run by Goldman Sachs, Big Oil and the Military and politicians are a sideshow to keep us entertained.

[Reply](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/don-mcnay/new-york-times-does-not-u_b_609696.html)
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This is just plain rediculous. Goldman gets money at 0% interest from the Fed (our money), than loans it back to a municipality to build an arena, on which Goldman will be getting interest. We are loaning them money for free, so they can loan it back to us and charge interest!

Next Bubble is already starting. Next Crash will be worse.

[Reply](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/don-mcnay/new-york-times-does-not-u_b_609696.html)
My only dealings with Wall St./Big Banks are now limited to one car loan, 1/3 paid off. If I can hang onto my job for another year, then that's what I'll do, pay off the car. If I lose the job, and can't find another one to replace it, then I'll be taking the car to the car wash, and then it's off to the dealership to arrange for the financial institution providing the financing to re-assume possession/ownership of what would then by default become their property. My credit report would take another hit, but, oh well. Eventually, assuming I couldn't find another 'gig,' I'd have to boost one of those shopping carts, and a Cadillac emblem. At least you don't have to have liability insurance on a grocery cart.

I disagree entirely. People are financial neophytes. They do these INCREDIBLY foolish things and then wonder why they have no money and are losing their homes. Guess what - it is high time the sheeple woke up and read up on frac reserve banking, credit/money creation and who runs the show here. NO ONE is forcing these people to put 0% down on houses they can't afford. NO ONE is forcing them to max out credit cards to buy a bunch of plastic garbage that gets thrown out 6 months later. NO ONE is forcing J6P to drive a freakin' ***TANK*** 3 blocks to buy a pack of Newports.

I give the sheeple an easy 50% of the blame here - if not more. The top 1% just saw tens of millions of fools acting like...well, fools and jumped on the opportunity to take their money. Actually, to CREATE their money but that's a whole 'nother story.

The fact that you are giving these activities and the perpetrators a 'pass' is absurd. If you're really okay with living in such a 'dog-eat-dog' environment, I guess you'll be okay if someone sells you a used car that is a badly-repaired wreck but represented and documented as 'mint condition'. After all, the seller just "...jumped on the opportunity...".
Love your love for your home town, but it is plain, and I know the people that did it, that everybody was high on that money. Flipping houses, starting soon-to-fail dream businesses, blowing hard cash on cars, vacations, clothes. People had a bank in their front yard, and the withdrew.

I'm not a Republican troll, here. But I know what went on, and I know everybody needs someone to blame; but if you're underwater on your mortgage, then you made a poor purchase. There was and is good investments out there, it's not all roulette. And people should have known. I knew.

How many TV shows were dedicated to flipping? What is the production lead time on a TV show? When people are in it to that degree, where average folk are reinventing themselves as property tycoons, then something is wrong.

You'll know what to look for the next time. But let's keep it real, for the moment.

The New York Times is the Ministry of Truth. The sooner the free market for information drives them out of business, the better.

Yeah, but it doesn't. does it?

I see from your post that you've read 2 books.

I cannot believe this man is knocking the NYT while praising the likes of Thomas Friedman in the same breath.

I had EXACTLY the same reaction. Truer words than, "The rest of us were not invited to the party. We were only expected to pay for it," were never spoken. But Thomas Friedman one "of the greatest journalists to walk the planet"? Not in my lifetime!

So you had sense, and money, obviously. A job. And now you're paying for all the speculators and you're mad. Did you pay more tax this year than last year?

Tell me, should we be fighting and dying in Iraq? For what? Are you mad about paying for that one, or does it serve your self image better as an American? You know, children dying in the street.

And who cares about your lifetime...a smug know-nothing that thinks the New York Times is out to get him. It's the last newspaper - in the world - to have a semblance of journalistic integrity in the grand tradition; but then I guess you didn't read those papers then either.

All those very intelligent reporters, in the nexus of power for the last 100 years are wrong. And you're right. Must be nice.
The difference between liberals and conservatives is that liberals are far more willing to call out one of their own for being totally off their rocker. The conservatives will almost never criticize a fellow right winger regardless of the loopy stuff spewing out of their mouths.

an opinion writer getting angry over an opinion writer

Hersezenhorn is not an opinion writer. He is supposed to be a straight reporter. Having read countless health bill related articles by him and other articles that deal with government policy being created, I prefer to think of him as a stenographer. However, if your point was that he comes at it from a biased mindset and will only parrot the elite conventional wisdom consensus in which he is part of, then your point is a valid one.

Countless times I have written Hersezenhorn with my critiques and I will give him some credit because he does respond -- even if it is to say that he is always right.

The Main Stream Media Outlets are the propaganda instrument of Wall Street, used to brainwash people of their broken free market ideology and to rationalize their dark deeds and treachery. Our mainstream newspapers continue to represent the ultra-conservative viewpoints of the fascist shadow elite of our nation. It is a wonder we can still even think for all the propaganda we are exposed to every day.

The sins of the media in the financial crisis need to pointed out in any case. The media, including the NYT have it still too easy to escape specific attention. If somebody was complicit in the first place then it was ....: http://rin.org/alt-news/media-news/where-was-media-when-sub-prime-disaster-unfolded/2854/

This is exactly what the MEDIA is supposed to do to promulgate DISASTER CAPITALISM and the use of SHOCK DOCTRINE.....
Although I certainly agree with your critique of the Times, I can't imagine anyone supporting the journalistic integrity (or intelligence) of Thomas Friedman. His opinions on everything from globalism to the war in Iraq have proven him to be little more than a poorly informed populist who blows with the wind - when his opinions are proven wrong, he simply switches them to fit the Zeitgeist. In fact, he is a perfect representative of how the NYTimes is failing as a credible source of journalism, not to mention, critical thought.

As a New Yorker though, I can tell you that the NYTimes is far more interested in pleasing its corporate advertisers than in uncovering any uncomfortable truths for their readers. And I can also tell you that this is precisely why so many New Yorkers still read it. Sad, but true.

Sad but true is you should read the London Times. NYT is still the best paper in the world.

Can't we be a little more specific? How about boarded up Main Streets that met their fate when WalMart and other national chains hit the area and started siphoning profits off to Wall Street? You can bet that it was not the local banks that funded those moves.

How about the empty industrial parks representing the hopes and dreams of innocent communities who weren't informed that Wall Street favors global deals that build Asian markets, not Main Street markets.

How about the counterpoint of senseless metro crowding with unaffordable homes versus neglected houses across smaller American towns with no jobs. Does anyone think that was anyone's idea other than big banks?

Not entirely sure why Main St should be held to educated complicity in the woes of the GFC, when Wall St has maintained loud and clear that they had NO IDEA it was all going to end in tears. Indeed, they are as SURPRISED and HORRIFIED as the rest of us - just with nicer bank accounts to assuage their grief.

They have no grief, they are laughing all the way to their SWISS BANK ACCOUNTS.....